

Non-uniqueness of the Solution to Inverse Scattering Problem by Many Small Scatterers

Alexander G Ramm*

Department of Mathematics Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506-2602, USA

*Corresponding author:

Alexander G Ramm, Department of Mathematics Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506-2602, USA. Email: ramm@ksu.edu.

ABSTRACT

Inverse scattering problem by many small bodies is investigated. It is proved that the number of these bodies in a unit volume and the boundary impedance on the surface of these bodies cannot be recovered from the scattering data simultaneously. The basic assumption is $a \ll d \ll \lambda$, where a is the size of a small body, d is the distance between neighboring bodies, and λ is the wave length.

Keywords: Inverse Scattering, Many-body Scattering, Non-uniqueness Theorem.

MSC: 35P25; 81U10.

Received: June 27, 2025;

Accepted: July 03, 2025;

Published: July 10, 2025

Introduction

Our result in this paper is based on the many-body wave scattering theory developed in [1], [2] and [4],[5]. Consider the wave scattering problem by many small bodies. Each of these bodies has the size a . This means that this body D_m can be put in a ball of radius a , centered at a point x_m , and a ball of radius $a/2$ centered at the point x_m belongs to the body, $1 \leq m \leq M$, where M is the number of small bodies. The basic assumption is:

$$a \ll d \ll \lambda, \quad (1)$$

where d is the minimal distance between neighboring bodies, and λ is the wave length.

In the cited author's monographs various boundary conditions are investigated.

In this paper we assume the impedance boundary condition on S_m , the surface of each of the small bodies:

$$u_N = \zeta_m u \quad \text{on } S_m, \quad \zeta_m = \frac{h(x_m)}{a^\kappa}, \quad \text{Im} \zeta_m \leq 0. \quad (2)$$

Parameter $\kappa \in [0, 1)$ can be fixed arbitrarily by the experimenter, a is very small, the number M of small scatterers is large: $M = O(a^{\kappa-2})$ as $a \rightarrow 0$,

N is the exterior unit normal on $S = \bigcup_{m=1}^M S_m$, the distribution of small bodies (scatterers) is given by the formula:

$$\mathcal{N}(\Delta) = \frac{1}{a^{2-\kappa}} \int_{\Delta} N(x) dx [1 + o(1)], \quad a \rightarrow 0, \quad (3)$$

where $\Delta \subset D$ is an arbitrary open subset of D .

The scattering problem by many small scatterers with impedance boundary condition is:

$$(\Delta + k^2)u_M = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \bigcup D_m, \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{\partial u_M}{\partial N} = \zeta_m u_M \quad \text{on } S_m, \quad (5)$$

where v , the scattered field, satisfies the radiation condition at infinity, and u_0 is the incident field, α is a unit vector and $k > 0$ is the wave number.

In p.41, wave scattering by many small scatterers, placed in an inhomogeneous medium is considered [4].

In this paper we assume for simplicity that the small scatterers are placed in a bounded domain D in a free space. It is proved in that the many-body scattering problem (4)-(5) has a solution, this solution is unique, and, as $a \rightarrow 0$ the solution tends uniformly to a limiting value $u(x)$, that satisfies the integral equation [4]:

Citation: Alexander G Ramm (2025) Non-uniqueness of the Solution to Inverse Scattering Problem by Many Small Scatterers. J All Phy Res Appli 1: 1-2.

$$u(x) = u_0(x) - \int_D \frac{e^{ik|x-y|}}{|x-y|} h(y) N(y) u(y) dy. \quad (7)$$

This equation has a solution and the solution is unique (see [3], p. Its solution u solves the limiting scattering problem:

$$(\Delta + k^2 - 4\pi N(x)h(x))u = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$u_M = u_0 + v, \quad u_0 = e^{ik\alpha \cdot x}. \quad (9)$$

It is of interest to know that the theory developed in allows one to solve asymptotically (as $a \rightarrow 0$) exactly many-body scattering problem under the assumptions when the multiple scattering is essential, and to prove that the limiting field solves integral equation (6) [4]. It is proved in, p.264, that the scattering amplitude corresponding to the limiting scattering problem (7)-(8) determines uniquely the potential $p(x) = 4\pi N(x)h(x)$ [3].

Let us prove that the knowledge of $p(x)$ does not allow to determine $N(x)$ and $h(x)$ simultaneously.

Theorem 1. The scattering amplitude at a fixed $k > 0$ determines uniquely the potential $p(x) = 4\pi N(x)h(x)$, but cannot determine simultaneously $N(x)$ and the impedance boundary condition uniquely.

Proof. As was mentioned earlier, the scattering amplitude at a fixed $k > 0$ determines uniquely the potential $p(x) = 4\pi N(x)h(x)$.

One can fix $N(x) > 0$ arbitrarily, for example, to take $N(x) = c > 0$, where c is a constant. Then

$$h(x) = \frac{p(x)}{4\pi c}. \quad (10)$$

The $h(x)$ determines the boundary condition uniquely, see equation (2).

Theorem 1 is proved.

Conclusion

A non-uniqueness of the solution to an inverse scattering problem for many small bodies with an impedance boundary condition is proved under the assumption $a \ll d \ll \lambda$, for very small a . Here a is the size of a small body, d is the minimal distance between neighboring bodies, λ is the wavelength. One can determine $N(x)h(x)$ but not separately $N(x)$ and $h(x)$.

Disclosure Statement

There are no competing interests to declare. There is no financial support for this work.

References

1. Ramm AG (2013) Scattering of Acoustic and Electromagnetic Waves by Small Bodies of Arbitrary Shapes. Applications to Creating New Engineered Materials. Momentum Press, New York.
2. Ramm AG (2020) Creating materials with a desired refraction coefficient. IOP Publishers, Bristol, UK (Second edition).
3. Ramm AG (2005) Inverse problems. Springer, New York.
4. Ramm AG (2023) Wave scattering by small bodies. Creating materials with a desired refraction coefficient and other applications. World Sci. Publishers, Singapore.
5. Ramm AG (2021) The Navier-Stokes problem. Morgan and Claypool publishers.